

נספח 1. מקורות

- [1] גולומביק י, ברעם צברי א ופישביין ב. 2015. מדע אזרחי – שיתוף הציבור בביצוע מחקר מדעי. *אקולוגיה וסביבה* 6(1): 14-23.
- [2] Bird TJ, Bates AE, Lefcheck JS, et al. 2014. Statistical solutions for error and bias in global citizen science datasets. *Biological Conservation* **173**: 144-154.
- [3] Cohn JP. 2008. Citizen science: Can volunteers do real research? *BioScience* **58**(3) 192-197.
- [4] Dickinson JL, Zuckerberg B, and Bonter DN. 2010. Citizen science as an ecological research tool: Challenges and benefits. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **41**(1): 149-172.
- [5] Kosmala M, Wiggins A, Swanson A, and Simmons B. 2016. Assessing data quality in citizen science. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **14**(10): 551-560.
- [6] Oberhauser K and LeBuhn G. 2012. Insects and plants: Engaging undergraduates in authentic research through citizen science. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **10**(6): 318-320.
- [7] Riesch H, Potter C, and Davies L. 2013. Combining citizen science and public engagement : The Open AirLaboratories Programme. *Journal of Science Communication* **12**(03): 1-19.
- [8] Swanson A, Kosmala M, Lintott C, and Packer C. 2016. A generalized approach for producing, quantifying, and validating citizen science data from wildlife images. *Conservation Biology* **30**(3): 520-531.
- [9] Tregidgo DJ, West SE, and Ashmore MR. 2013. Can citizen science produce good science? Testing the OPAL Air Survey methodology, using lichens as indicators of nitrogenous pollution. *Environmental Pollution* **182**: 448-451.
- [10] van der Velde T, Milton DA, Lawson TJ, et al. 2017. Comparison of marine debris data collected by researchers and citizen scientists: Is citizen science data worth the effort? *Biological Conservation* **208**: 127-138.